



## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/765,172	01/18/2001	John M. Baron	10004909-1	7463	
7	590 05/29/2003				
HEWLETT-PACKARD COMPANY			EXAMINER		
Intellectual Property Administration P.O. Box 272400			NGUYEN, JENNIFER T		
Fort Collins, C	O 80527-2400		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER		
			2674		
			DATE MAILED: 05/29/2003	Co	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

·					
•	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Advisory Action	09/765,172	BARON, JOHN M.	$(\checkmark)$		
. *	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Jennifer T Nguyen	2674			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence addr	9SS		
THE REPLY FILED 12 May 2003 FAILS TO PLACE TH Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1 condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appel Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	void abandonment of this applicable to the substitution of the sub	cation. A proper repich places the applic	ly to a ation in		
PERIOD FOR RE	PLY [check either a) or b)]				
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Adv event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later th ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f).  Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The dathave been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extensions of the shortened of the s	isory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the an SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE te on which the petition under 37 CFR 1. sion and the corresponding amount of the statutory period for reply originally set in	of the final rejection.  E FINAL REJECTION. S  136(a) and the appropriate extended the final Office action; or (	ee MPEP extension fee ension fee under 2) as set forth in		
<ul> <li>(b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three moderned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).</li> <li>1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's</li> </ul>	-	•	may reduce any		
37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.  2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:					
		( NOTE I I )			
(a) Light they raise new issues that would require further they raise the issue of new matter (see Note to		(see NOTE below);			
(b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);					
(c) ⊠ they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or					
(d) they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.  NOTE:					
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject	tion(s):				
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).					
5.☑ The a)☐ affidavit, b)☐ exhibit, or c)☑ request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> .					
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered bed raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	cause it is not directed SOLELY	to issues which wer	e newly		
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) will not be entered or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.					
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:					
Claim(s) allowed:					
Claim(s) objected to:					
Claim(s) rejected: 1-20.					
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:					
8. The proposed drawing correction filed on is	a) approyet or b) disap	proved by the Exam	iner.		
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)( PTO-1448) Pager No(s).					
10. Other:					
RICHARD HJERPE Jennifer T Nguyen					
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER Examiner					
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office	TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600	Art Unit: 2674			
PTO-303 (Rev. 04-01) Advi	sory Action	Part of Paper No.	6		

